



Leicester  
City Council

**WARDS AFFECTED  
ALL WARDS**

**FORWARD TIMETABLE OF CONSULTATION AND MEETINGS:**

**OSMB**

**4 NOVEMBER 2010**

**CABINET**

**8 NOVEMBER 2010**

---

**CORPORATE CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPERTY SCHEMES**

---

**Report Chief Operations Officer**

**1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 To seek authorisation for the release of capital monies for Property Schemes as identified within the report.

**2. Recommendations**

- 2.1. Cabinet is recommended to approve the list of Property schemes identified in Appendix A.
- 2.2. Cabinet is asked to approve the release of £1,000,000, from the capital programme which has been allocated for Property Schemes by Council at its meeting on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2010.

**3. Summary**

- 3.1 In March 2010, Council approved the allocation of a sum of £1,000,000 within the approved Capital Programme for Property Schemes subject to details of works to be undertaken being reported to Cabinet.
- 3.2 This report provides that detail and requests the release of the £1,000,000 funding for the year 2010 – 2011.
- 3.3 The attached appendix A sets out the proposed works, which have been prioritised using a risk matrix. The implementation of these works will improve the Council's ability to meet legal and service objectives.

## 4. Report

- 4.1 The City Council's building stock varies considerably in age and the type of construction and since originally built many assets have been adapted at various times over the years under the legislation and utilising technology that was applicable at the time work was carried out. However particularly in recent years changes in codes of practice, relevant legislation etc. (see below) have occurred, the implications of which need to be considered and any risks identified managed to ensure the Council is not exposed to unacceptable levels of risk. This is done by assessing, prioritising and addressing the risks as appropriate.
- 4.2 The assessment and management of the risks is an ongoing process and the capital bid was submitted to enable this work to continue and to enable the resulting priority works to be carried out.
- 4.2.1 Some of the risks identified are significant and carry the risk if not addressed of potential prosecutions, financial loss or service restrictions due particularly to the continuing changes in Health & Safety and environmental legislation. Examples of these are:-
- Where new legislation is introduced which is applicable to all buildings regardless of age, character, etc. Such a piece of legislation is the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order, which requires all occupiers to have an up to date fire risk assessment of not just the operations within the site but also of the structure and its performance. Surveys are being carried out at present. From these a prioritised list of amendments or improvements based on a risk assessment is produced. Some progress must be made to reduce the risks to users and staff at these facilities. The extent of work undertaken must be reasonable in light of the resources available, including time, cost, risk, practicality, occupants, etc. This will be assessed and questioned if a fire occurs and investigations and potential court cases follow. Initial fire risk assessments indicate that a considerably larger sum of money is likely to be required to address all 'high' risk issues. This can only be fully assessed once a substantial number of surveys have been carried out and financial assessments and prioritisation completed. This may necessitate a re prioritisation of some other works
  - Various health and safety codes of practice and guidance have also been introduced over the years, which are not directly retrospective, but have rendered practices that were deemed satisfactory, when the building was constructed or altered, to have become unacceptable unless various features are implemented e.g. fall restraints, specific access requirements in confined spaces. In addition, case law over many years has also affected the liability on

service provision. It has identified many aspects of inspection, risk assessment and repair which must be addressed. These may never be reflected in formal legislation. However Leicester City Council has a duty of care to all persons on its premises, including unauthorised persons. Inspection regimes have been introduced over the years to look at the various issues and to review potential risks. The inspection regimes are necessary because under case law, ignorance will not necessarily be an acceptable defence. Examples include the necessity for inspection of fencing following the collapse of park fencing in Derby, and various cases of unauthorised persons falling through or off roofs, etc.

- Over years various aspects of design are investigated, tested, commented upon and further developed. This means that aspects of design that were fully acceptable when a building was constructed may now not be suitable because of this further research. Even the environment in which any building functions has changed and the building does need to address the various social and economic issues of the local vicinity. i.e the surrounding neighbourhood has changed from, say, a suburban area to a front line facility
- Certain equipment which was originally installed some years ago, whilst still working, the company producing the spares has ceased to exist. This means that equipment is having to be repaired with materials or components of lower compatibility, leaving a higher level risk of potential failure, which also increases the risk of the complete breakdown of the service.

4.2.2 Furthermore insurance companies are taking a far firmer stance on these issues especially Health and Safety. This not only affects staff employed directly by the City Council, but also specifically by Contractors and companies employed to undertake work on our behalf. The requirements are such that we need to undertake not just risk assessments of areas but also risk reduction measures before companies are prepared to undertake the requested tasks. e.g the installation of safe access routes to tanks must be undertaken before water hygiene companies will test the system

#### 4.3 **Process for Prioritising Risks**

A risk assessment, which is based upon the potential for harm to people or buildings, has been undertaken on each of the issues being raised in the attached schedule. This has been used as a basis for prioritisation.

Following the elimination of high risks in relation to water hygiene, moderate risks still present a significant risk if not managed or removed. Continuing the proposed programme will remove the need to manage the risks and the potential for human error in the management of the risks at site level.

A Water Hygiene risk assessment has been undertaken on each of the properties identified in the attached Appendix 1 & 2. The works identified and the service use of the building have been used as a basis for prioritisation using a risk algorithm. All high risk works in all high, medium

and low risk buildings have been completed. The risk is calculated using an algorithm that considers the use of the building, the service users,,the activities involving the use of water and the condition of the water system.

- 4.4 The attached Appendix A incorporates a list of projects which have been identified as requiring attention giving the cause for each item. This is a focussed programme of works which will meet legal and service objectives and bring benefits over and above basic compliance with legislation in terms of improved safeguards for the health and safety of those who use, operate and maintain our buildings, improved operational and maintenance efficiency and are a positive contribution to safeguarding the continuity of service delivery.
- 4.5 These works are outside of the scope of budgets for normal maintenance and/or service requirements.
- 4.6 Cabinet is recommended to approve the release of the monies to address the issues identified in Appendix A.

#### **PROGRAMME OUTCOMES**

Last financial year, £350,000 was spent completing the programme of high risk works at medium to low risk use buildings. Approximately 120 sites received planned high risk remedial works.

The works undertaken to date to prevent unauthorised access to the roofs at various sites has been a success. From almost daily occurrences of children on the roof, this has been substantially reduced to very limited occurrences.

#### **5. FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

##### **5.1. Financial Implications – (Nick Booth, Extn. 297460)**

A capital allocation of up to £1,000,000 for 2010/11 was previously approved by Council at its meeting on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2010 within the Corporate Capital Programme for Property Schemes subject to details being approved by Cabinet.

Details of the proposed expenditure are set out in appendix A, but the main items are £360,000 for high risk items identified from fire risk assessments and £345,000 for water hygiene works.

Members are reminded that because of the ongoing changes, updates, etc, it may be necessary to reprioritise actions to meet specific needs within the given time frame and budget allocation.

Failure to implement the recommended changes from the risk assessments could affect the validity of Leicester City Council's insurance cover and would also lead to additional or elevated insurance premiums.

5.2 Legal Implications – Joanna Bunting, Extn. 296450)

The legal background is contained in the report.

Offences under the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974 can result in liability to a fine or imprisonment. In very bad cases – where risk has been recklessly disregarded and where there has been a death it is possible that prosecutors could consider manslaughter charges.

The Council also has, in respect of the state of its buildings, a duty of care to anyone on its premises and to its employees. The extent of the action necessary under this duty of care should be determined as a result of a risk assessment. This liability is usually backed off by insurance arrangements.

Leicester City Council has a legal obligation under Section 4 of the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 to control the risks associated with water hygiene in its properties so far as is reasonably practicable.

Responsible officers and members of the Council, as well as the Council itself, could be liable to prosecution under the Health and Safety at Work Act and under extreme cases the law of manslaughter, and if found guilty could be liable to a fine or imprisonment. Also under Owners Liability and Employers Liabilities the Council could have civil liability. This is usually covered by insurance. Case law has established the principle that if one could reasonably foretell that events could occur, then reasonable action must be put in place to mitigate risks.

5.3 Climate Change Implications - Helen Lansdown, Senior Environmental Consultant - Sustainable Procurement (extn 296770)

In general this report does not contain any significant climate change implications and therefore should not have a detrimental effect on the Council's climate change targets. However, the works proposed at the Gilmorton Rooms to improve heating controls should allow for greater energy efficiency in this building which has the potential to reduce the Council's carbon emissions.

**Other Implications**

OTHER IMPLICATIONS	YES/NO	Paragraph References Within Supporting information
Equal Opportunities	<b>No</b>	
Policy	<b>Yes</b>	<b>All council policies could be affected</b>
Sustainable and Environmental	<b>Yes</b>	<b>All actions enable a more sustainable</b>

		<b>building and service provision.</b>
Crime and Disorder	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Some of the issues are result of crime/illegal entry such as duty of care with regard to roof access.</b>
Human Rights Act	<b>No</b>	
Elderly/People on Low Income	<b>No</b>	

## 6. Risk Assessment Matrix

See earlier under paragraph 4.3

## 7. Background Papers – Local Government Act 1972

The Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974(HSWA).

Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 (MHSWR).

Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 1999 (COSHH).

The Approved Code of Practice L8: The control of Legionella bacteria in water systems-Approved Code of Practice & Guidance. Health and Safety Commission (HSC)(ACOP L8).

Report of the public meetings into the outbreak in Barrow-in-Furness, August 20002. Health and Safety Executive(HSE).

Zurich Municipal Audit 2005

Corporate Directors Board (CDB) Water Hygiene Report 11/09/07

CDB Water Hygiene Follow up report 16/10/07

Capital Programme Submissions.

## 8. Consultations

Consultations have taken place with representatives of all Service Departments, specifically

Adult & Housing - Mick Bowers, Swarsha Balla and Michael Holmes  
 Regeneration and Culture – Helen Davis  
 Children & Young Persons – Rob Thomas

Various other staff – site and office based - have been consulted on each individual issue.

Consultation with regard to Water Hygiene has been carried out with officers in the Strategic Asset Management Division and representatives from Children and Young People's Services (CYPS), Resources, Regeneration and Culture, and Adults and Housing at the regular Corporate Asbestos & Water Hygiene Task and Finish Group meetings.

**9. Report Author**

Robin Matthewman  
Building Maintenance Manager  
Extn. 298160  
Email: [robin.matthewman@leicester.gov.uk](mailto:robin.matthewman@leicester.gov.uk)

<b>Key Decision</b>	Yes
<b>Reason</b>	Capital expenditure in excess of £1m
<b>Appeared in Forward Plan</b>	Yes
<b>Executive or Council Decision</b>	Executive (Cabinet)

## CORPORATE CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPERTY SCHEMES

### Appendix A

2010-11

Issues to be dealt with	Basis of Requirement	Anticipated Cost	Schemes	Individual Project Amount	Issues/Risks	Comments/notes
		in £'000s		in £'000s		
Water Hygiene Works	Health & Safety	£345	See Appendix B	n/a	Breach of legislation. Risk of users and or staff contracting legionella	See Appendix 2
-						
Fire Risk Reductions - Undertaking high risk items identified in assessments	Health & Safety	£360	All sites to undertake any high risk issues identified within the Fire Risk Assessments presently being produced	n/a	Breach of legislation. Risk to users and staff in the event of a fire.  Potential for death of occupants or other injury.  Substantial damage to assets Disruption of service delivery	A programme of Fire Risk Assessments is being implemented across all corporate operational properties (excluding housing).. Within each risk assessment, issues at the individual property need to be addressed. This money is to contribute to the cost of dealing with these identified High Risk Issues. Lower risk issues still need to be addressed and these will be carried out if any money is left. it is unlikely that all the works identified in the Risk Assessment will be carried out within the existing resources and that the programme of works will take several years to fully address.
Safe Roof working - Prevention of Unauthorised access	Case Law & Health & Safety	£50	Tudor Centre	£25	Children frequently identified on the roof with potential for falls and injuries	Successful court cases against Portsmouth City Council, Longslade School. Regular damage occurring to buildings. Potential injury to individuals (children/thieves) in event of accident. Potential for damaged tiles etc to fall and water leaks. Encourages access for theft. Original design often left roofs easy to climb onto. Further sites continually being identified.
			Abbey Pumping Station	£25	Children frequently identified on the roof with potential for falls and injuries. Also identified adults on site for potential lead thefts	



## CORPORATE CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPERTY SCHEMES

### Appendix A

2010-11

Issues to be dealt with	Basis of Requirement	Anticipated Cost	Schemes	Individual Project Amount	Issues/Risks	Comments/notes
		in £'000s		in £'000s		
- Lift Remedial Works - obsolescent equipment	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£30	Various	£30	Potential for injury and claims against the Council.	Currently have two public liability claims. Various equipment in lifts, whilst still working, is ageing and increasingly difficult to repair or obtain parts. Strictly there is no obligation to do this work but the lifts are becoming less reliable, which causes disruption in services for users and hence the potential for bad publicity and further public liability claims. Commence a programme of works
- Works to boundary fencing	Case Law & Health & Safety	£12	Belgrave Hall Gardens	£12	Fencing to river perimeter collapsed and others need repairing.	Successful case against Derby City Council involving a fatality of a child with a falling fence panel. Potential for injury to occur from any boundary walls and fencing which is in a poor state of repair. Overall inspection will be undertaken within Property Health Surveys - this is picking up sites with a potential to cause harm. The highest priority is listed here, The Park Wardens now regularly check fencing in the light of the Derby case.

## CORPORATE CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPERTY SCHEMES

### Appendix A

2010-11

Issues to be dealt with	Basis of Requirement	Anticipated Cost	Schemes	Individual Project Amount	Issues/Risks	Comments/notes
		in £'000s		in £'000s		
Lath And Plaster Ceiling Inspection Survey	Case Law & Health & Safety	£100	All sites with Lath & plaster ceilings.	£100	Potential for injury and claims against the Council.	Since collapse of some ceilings several years ago, a full survey was implemented utilising thermal imaging. These have been visually checked since this survey was originally undertaken in 2006/7  A full survey is now taking place using full thermal imaging, these will be compared to previous surveys to assess trends.
<b><u>Site specific Issues</u></b>						
- Cemetery Memorials/Churchgrounds	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£30	Welford Road Cemetery	£30	Potential for injury and claims against the Council.	The Lottery funded scheme made safe some of the Memorials at the site. However several areas are now fenced off and others are laid flat. This would start making inroads to repair existing fenced off areas and enable public access. Priority within the site needs to be identified
Poor Brickwork	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£10	Evington Place Play Area	£10	Water damage Possible collapse	Gable wall to neighbouring property has been incorrectly built. Water ingress is damaging existing wall and affecting occupied unit.
Obsolete Fire Alarm system	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£40	Central Lending Library	£60	Potential for confusion amongst staff, children and others. Could lead to injuries or even death.	System installed still operates but now obsolete as system is not suitable for present day requirements. There is no monitoring, zoning or automatic detection. Will become part of the Fire Risk Assessment works once undertaken
			Linwood Workshops	£60	The fire alarms still operate but are now considered to be obsolete	
			Merrydale Junior School	£40		

## CORPORATE CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPERTY SCHEMES

### Appendix A

2010-11

Issues to be dealt with	Basis of Requirement	Anticipated Cost	Schemes	Individual Project Amount	Issues/Risks	Comments/notes
		in £'000s		in £'000s		
			Linden Primary School Key Way Centre	£40 £25	due to the age and technology of the equipment. Undertake first phase of works. Priority to be established	
Continuing design Issues	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£18	Newarke Houses Museum	£18	Potential for injury and claims against the Council.	The refurbishment of the building took place some years ago. The works have meant that the ceilings in some rooms have become dangerous due to vibration, increased loads, etc
Obsolete Heating system	Technical Issue & Health & Safety	£5	Gilmorton Rooms	£5	Heating controls do not allow for differential between fully used offices and partially used public areas. Investigate potential for funding with Energy management	H&S and Environmental issue
<b>Total Estimated Cost</b>		<b>£1,000</b>				

### WATER HYGIENE REMEDIAL WORKS

#### 1. Report

- 1.1 New codes of practice and guidance have been introduced over the years that are not directly retrospective, but have rendered practices that were deemed satisfactory when our buildings were constructed or altered, to become unsatisfactory unless remedial works are actioned. Under the Approved Code of Practice L8 (The Control of Legionella Bacteria in Water Systems) organisations are required by law to manage their water systems.
- 1.2 This legislation and guidance was introduced by the Health and Safety Commission in 2002. The Council, being an employer and provider of services to the public, has a duty to ensure, so far as reasonably practicable, the health and safety of its employees and others affected by its work. The Strategy for managing our water systems was approved by Corporate Directors Board in September 2007.
- 1.3 As part of the ongoing management process the Water Hygiene risk assessments carried out within the last twelve month period identified high priority works that should be carried out in this financial year.
- 1.4 This work is additional to the annual maintenance/renewal of systems and arises out of the need to make changes to meet legislative requirements.
- 1.5 The estimated costs of works needing to be undertaken over this year is £345,000. Funds to this value have been allocated for the 20010/11 Capital Programme.
- 1.6 Water hygiene risk assessments have identified buildings with high, medium and low priority works that amount to £345,000 and these will be undertaken in the current financial year 2010/11 from the funding allocated. Sites that have a low user risk but have schemes identified for high priority works are detailed in Appendix 1. The schemes identified as medium and lower priority works are detailed in Appendix 2 and relate to further works in buildings containing high risk users where highest priority works have already been completed.
- 1.7 To date water hygiene risk assessments have been conducted at all of the 195 operational properties detailed in Tables 1 & 2 below. The Corporate Water Hygiene Co-ordinator has commissioned £44,968 of water hygiene remedial works to 14 of the sites targeted for this years capital funding. The other 181 properties currently have estimated values issued against them totalling £300,050. A detailed programme of works and exact costs and dates for completion, will be devised and reported on to the Corporate Asbestos & Water Hygiene Group bi-monthly, following a tendering and programming process conducted by Engineering Services. This programme of works will meet legal and service obligations and at the same time bring benefits over and above basic compliance with legislation. The works will provide improved safeguards for the health and safety of those who use, operate and maintain our buildings together with improved operational and maintenance efficiency and are a positive contribution to safeguarding the sustainability of service delivery.

**TABLE 1 : Summary of Water Hygiene Register / Programme for 2010/11 (High Priority Works only )**

Note : This list may be subject to some variation, due to possible reprioritisation during 2010/11 and unforeseen problems being encountered e.g. asbestos, emergency/reactive high risk remedial works from new water hygiene risk assessments.

Target completion dates for all proposed schemes is 31 March 2011

Department	Number of Properties	Cost
Children and Young People's Service	5	£22,480.00
Regeneration and Culture	19	£31,313.00
Resources	7	£12,200.00
Adults and Housing	3	£15,770.00
<b>Totals</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>£75,968.00</b>

Site	Department	Commissioned	Estimate
Watershed Youth Centre	AH	£ 920.00	
Vulcan House	AH	£ 885.00	
Layton House	AH	£ 4,600.00	
Northfield House Primary	CYPS	£ 5,750.00	
Alderman Richard Hallam Primary	CYPS	£ 3,450.00	
Uplands Infant School	CYPS	£ 2,300.00	
Uplands Junior School	CYPS	£ 1,150.00	
Inglehurst Junior School	CYPS	£ 9,830.00	
Abbey Park Depot	RAC	£ 1,150.00	
Abbey Park Bowling Pavillion	RAC	£ 690.00	
Abbey Park Pavilion Café	RAC	£ 690.00	
Cavendish House	RAC	£ 575.00	
Humberstone Heights Golf Course	RAC		£ 2,000.00
Humberstone Park Café	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Humberstone Park Bowls Pavilion and Green & Public Conveniences	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Knighton Park Depot	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Western Park Golf Course	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Rushey Fields Pavilion and Changing Rooms	RAC		£ 3,000.00
Rushey Fields Depot	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Saffron Hill Cemetery Chapel, Saffron Hill Cemetery	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Saffron Hill Cemetery Depot / Store	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Saffron Hill Cemetery Muslim Prayer HC	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Spinney Hill Park Public Conveniences and Pavilion	RAC		£ 1,000.00
Monks Rest Gardens Bowling Green Depot and Pavilion	RAC		£ 3,000.00
Welford Road Cemetery Office and Store	RAC		£ 1,000.00

2 Thurcaston Road	RAC		£ 1,000.00
New Parks Baths	RAC	£ 9,208.00	
Phoenix House	RES		£ 1,000.00
Sovereign House	RES		£ 2,000.00
10 York Road	RES		£ 2,000.00
Beaumont Enterprise Centre	RES		£ 4,000.00
1-7 Greyfriars	RES		£ 2,000.00
Linwood Workshops	RES		£ 1,000.00
Town Hall	RES	£ 3,770.00	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>£44,968.00</b>	<b>£31,000.00</b>
<b>Grand total £75,968.00</b>			

**TABLE 2 : Summary of Water Hygiene Register / Programme for 2010/11  
(Medium Priority Works only )**

Note : This list may be subject to some variation, due to possible reprioritisation during 2010/11 and unforeseen problems being encountered e.g. asbestos, emergency/reactive high risk remedial works from new water hygiene risk assessments.

Target completion dates for all proposed schemes is 31 March 2011

Department	Number of Properties	Cost
Children and Young People's Service	101	£157,050.00
Regeneration and Culture	32	£53,500.00
Resources	4	£9,000.00
Adults and Housing	24	£49,500.00
<b>Totals</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>£269,050.00</b>

Site	Department	Commissioned	Estimate
Magpie Youth Club	AH		£ 1,000.00
New Parks Community Centre	AH		£ 500.00
African Caribbean Centre	AH		£ 3,000.00
Arbor House EPH	AH		£ 6,000.00
Beaumanor House	AH		£ 1,000.00
Brookside court EPH	AH		£ 2,000.00
Cooper House EPH	AH		£ 2,000.00
Abbey House EPH	AH		£ 2,000.00
Coleman NHC	AH		£ 1,000.00
Belgrave NHC	AH		£ 3,000.00
Northfields NHC	AH		£ 1,000.00
Manor House NHC	AH		£ 2,000.00
Home Farm NHC	AH		£ 1,000.00
The Rowans (NIA DAY CTR)	AH		£ 2,000.00
Elizabeth House EPH	AH		£ 3,000.00
Hastings Road Day Centre	AH		£ 2,000.00
Layton House Resource centre	AH		£ 1,000.00
Nuffield House EPH	AH		£ 2,000.00
Preston Lodge EPH	AH		£ 3,000.00
Thurncourt EPH	AH		£ 2,000.00

Herrick Lodge EPH (incl Visamo/Roshni Day Centre)	AH	£ 3,000.00
Martin House - Day Centre & Offices	AH	£ 1,000.00
Fosse Centre	AH	£ 2,000.00
Douglas Bader House	AH	£ 3,000.00
Braunstone Frith Children's Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Linden Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Marriott Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Mayflower Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Mellor Community Primary School	CYPS	£ 500.00
Montrose Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Mowmacre Hill Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Parks Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Rowlatts Hill Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Rushey Mead Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Sandfield Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Scraptoft Valley Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Shaftesbury Junior School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Shenton Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Slater Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Sparkenhoe Community Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Sparkenhoe Primary Annexe	CYPS	£ 500.00
Spinney Hill Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Stokes Wood Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,500.00
Whitehall Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Wolsey House Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Eyres Monsell & Gilmorton Sure Start Centre	CYPS	£ 500.00
Forest Lodge Education Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Thurnby Lodge Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Abbey Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Sparkenhoe Community Theatre	CYPS	£ 500.00
Babington Community Technology College	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Barleycroft Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Beaumont Lodge Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Bridge Junior School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Buswells Lodge Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Caldecote Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Charnwood Primary School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Coleman Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Braunstone Community Primary School	CYPS	£ 500.00
Crown Hills Community College	CYPS	£ 500.00
Dovelands Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
West End Children's Centre	CYPS	£ 500.00
Evington Valley Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,500.00
Eyres Monsell Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Folville Junior School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Forest Lodge Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Fosse Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Glebelands Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Granby Primary School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Hamilton Community College	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Inglehurst Infant School	CYPS	£ 1,500.00

The Lancaster School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Medway Community Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Moat Community College	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
New College	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Riverside Community College	CYPS	£ 6,000.00
Rushey Mead School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Sir Jonathan North Community College	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
City Of Leicester School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Willowbrook Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Wyvern Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Rolleston Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Avenue Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Newry School	CYPS	£ -
Woodstock Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Crowns Hill Community College - City Learning Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Beaumont Leys School - City Learning Centre	CYPS	£ 500.00
Ashfield School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Barnes Heath House Community Home	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Emily Fortey School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
West Gate School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Millgate School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Netherhall Special School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Oaklands School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Belgrave & R Mead S/Start Children's Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Netherhall Road Community Home	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Dunblane Avenue Community Home	CYPS	£ 500.00
Tatlow Road Community Home	CYPS	£ 500.00
Wigston Lane Community Home	CYPS	£ 500.00
Bishopdale Children & Family Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Braunstone Frith Infant School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Braunstone Frith Junior School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Catherine Infant School	CYPS	£ 500.00
Catherine Junior School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Ellesmere College	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Green Lane Infant School	CYPS	£ 1,700.00
Keyham Lodge School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Imperial Avenue Infant School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
King Richard III Infant & Nursery School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
New Parks Surestart Children's Centre	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Queensmead Community Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Merrydale Infant School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Merrydale Junior School	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
St Andrews Contact Assessment Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
St Barnabas C of E Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
St Mary's Fields Infant School & Nursery School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
St Christopher's Family Centre & Annexe	CYPS	£ 850.00
Humberstone Infant School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00



Humberstone Junior School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Overdale Infant School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Hazel Primary School & Community Centre	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Heatherbrook Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Herrick Primary School	CYPS	£ 1,000.00
Kestrels Field primary	CYPS	£ 3,000.00
Highfields Primary School	CYPS	£ 2,000.00
Knighton Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Rushey Mead Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Southfields Drive Library	RAC	£ 2,000.00
St Barnabas Library	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Westcotes Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Hamilton Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Saffron Lane Sports Centre	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Aylestone Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Belgrave Hall	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Reference and Information Library	RAC	£ 3,000.00
Central Lending Library	RAC	£ 3,000.00
Cossington Street Sports Centre	RAC	£ 500.00
Aylestone Leisure Centre	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Cossington Street Baths	RAC	£ 1,000.00
Leicester Creative Business Depot	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Spence Street Baths/Sports Centre	RAC	£ 3,000.00
St Margarets Pasture / Changing Rooms	RAC	£ 500.00
Evington Pool	RAC	£ 2,000.00
New Parks Leisure Centre	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Leicester Leys Leisure Centre	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Braunstone Leisure Centre	RAC	£ 2,000.00
De Montfort Hall & Gardens	RAC	£ 2,000.00
New Walk Museum	RAC	£ 2,000.00
New Parks Library	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Guildhall	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Jewry Wall Museum	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Abbey Pumping station	RAC	£ 2,000.00
Newarke Houses Museum	RAC	£ 3,000.00
Beaumont Leys Library	RAC	£ 1,000.00
Evington Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Knighton Fields Centre	RAC	£ 3,000.00
Highfields Library	RAC	£ 500.00
Eagle House	RES	£ 3,000.00
16 New Walk	RES	£ 2,000.00
Town Hall & Square	RES	£ 2,000.00
Marborough House Offices	RES	£ 2,000.00
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>£269,050.00</b>

GRAND TOTALS	Commissioned	Estimated
<b>HIGH RISK PRIORITY 1 TOTAL</b>	<b>£44,968.00</b>	<b>£31,000</b>
<b>MEDIUM RISK PRIORITY 2 TOTAL</b>	<b>£-</b>	<b>£269,050</b>
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>£44,968.00</b>	<b>£300,050</b>
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE COMMITTED 2010/11</b>	<b>£345,018.00</b>	